



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/766,080	01/28/2004	Patrick H. Hool	1-24578	2225	
46582 7590 02/03/2006			EXAMINER		
MACMILLAN, SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC			SY, MARIANO ONG		
ONE MARITIME PLAZA - FOURTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOLEDO, OH 43604			3683		

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/766,080		HOOL ET AL.	
-	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mariano Sy	3683	

	LAGIIIIIIGI	Altonic	
	Mariano Sy	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• •	126(a) and the assessin	to automaion for
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A offer in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ACSUSA
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ccause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	****		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 4,5,9,10,14 and 1 canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 16.	vided below or appended.	ii de entered and an 6	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 4,5,9,10,14 and 15.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-8,11-13,17 and 18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	\sim	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	\nearrow	MULCULAR BOOM ET B.	LRJ
	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{i}}$	JAMES MCCLELL PRIMARY EXAMIN	ER
		2/1/06	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/766,080 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

Response to Amendment

1. An inadvertent typographical error was made by the examiner on Claims 17 in par. 11 that should be canceled, and Examiner maintains the rejection of claims 17 and 18 as recited in par. 7 of the office action dated Oct. 18, 2005.

2. Examiner maintains the rejection is proper. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Watanabe (US 6,231,132) still reads on the amended claim 3. The amended claim 3 differs from Watanabe only in the use of a second seal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to a second seal on the piston as a mere duplication of parts in order to optimize the movement of the piston and also an added safety to leakage. The use of two seals on a piston is old and well known in the art as disclosed by Yagi (US 5,372,412) in figure 2 with seals 124, 125 in each end of the piston 121 and by Hageman et al. (US 6, 082,831) in figure 2 with seals about each ends of piston 51.

Watanabe still reads on claims 17 and 18.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 571-272-7126. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan, can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MY M.

February 1, 2006

JAMES MCOLELLAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
21:106

ME S MCCLELLAN EXAMINER